IJCAS International Journal of Creative and Arts Studies

Volume 11 Number 2, December 2024
p-ISSN 2339-191X | e-ISSN 2406-9760
pp 140 – 164

Transforming Curatorial Practices: The Role of AI and Blockchain in Shaping an Ethical Art-Science Paradigm for Public Policy

A. Sudjud Dartanto
Department of Art Management (TKS), Visual Art Faculty and Design (FSRD),
Institut Seni Indonesia Yogyakarta, Indonesia
E-mail: sudjuddartanto@isi.ac.id

Abstract
The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology in curatorial practice offers transformative potential for managing, presenting, and distributing both traditional and digital art. This study explores how AI enhances curatorial processes through advanced data analysis and personalized visitor experiences. AI assists curators in organizing collections and recommending artworks tailored to individual preferences, fostering greater engagement with dynamic, customized exhibitions. Blockchain technology, on the other hand, ensures the provenance of decentralized artworks, guaranteeing authenticity and transparency. It addresses issues like counterfeiting, ownership disputes, and secure transactions, while supporting artists through smart contracts that ensure equitable compensation. However, ethical concerns remain. These include biases in AI algorithms, intellectual property challenges in decentralized NFT platforms, and limited digital access for marginalized artists. Academic studies and case analyses underscore these challenges and advocate for collaboration among curators, artists, technologists, and policymakers. This approach seeks to resolve ethical dilemmas, promote inclusivity, and maintain cultural integrity in implementing these technologies. The study emphasizes the need for public policy frameworks to regulate AI and blockchain, ensuring fair compensation and equitable access to their benefits while safeguarding cultural values. By addressing these concerns, these technologies can unlock new possibilities for the art world.

Keywords: *artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain technology, curatorial practices, art-science paradigm*

Transformasi Praktik Kuratorial: Peran AI dan Blockchain dalam Membentuk Paradigma Seni-Ilmu yang Etis untuk Kebijakan Publik

Abstrak
Integrasi kecerdasan buatan (AI) dan teknologi blockchain dalam praktik kuratorial menawarkan potensi transformatif untuk mengelola, menyajikan, dan mendistribusikan seni baik tradisional maupun digital. Studi ini mengeksplorasi bagaimana AI meningkatkan proses kuratorial melalui analisis data yang mutakhir dan pengalaman pengunjung yang dipersonalisasi. AI membantu kurator dalam mengatur koleksi dan merekomendasikan karya seni yang disesuaikan dengan preferensi individu, mendorong keterlibatan yang lebih besar dengan pameran yang dinamis dan disesuaikan. Di sisi lain, teknologi blockchain memastikan asal-usul karya seni yang terdesentralisasi, menjamin keaslian dan transparansi. Teknologi ini mengatasi masalah seperti pemalsuan, sengketa kepemilikan, dan transaksi yang aman, serta mendukung para seniman melalui kontrak pintar yang memastikan kompensasi yang adil. Akan tetapi, masalah etika tetap ada. Ini termasuk bias dalam algoritma AI, tantangan kekayaan intelektual dalam platform NFT yang terdesentralisasi, dan akses digital yang terbatas untuk seniman yang terpinggirkan. Studi akademis dan analisis kasus menggarisbawahi tantangan-tantangan ini dan mengadvokasi kolaborasi di antara kurator, seniman, ahli teknologi, dan pembuat kebijakan. Pendekatan ini

Article History: Received: 6 November 2024
Revised: 11 Desember 2024
Accepted: 20 Desember 2024

berusaha untuk menyelesaikan dilema etika, mempromosikan inklusivitas, dan menjaga integritas budaya dalam mengimplementasikan teknologi ini. Studi ini menekankan perlunya kerangka kerja kebijakan publik untuk mengatur AI dan blockchain, memastikan kompensasi dan akses yang adil terhadap manfaatnya sambil menjaga nilai-nilai budaya. Dengan mengatasi masalah ini, teknologi ini dapat membuka peluang baru bagi dunia seni.

Kata kunci: *kecerdasan buatan (AI), teknologi blockchain, praktik kuratorial, paradigma seni-ilmu*

INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology into curatorial practice has the potential to transform the management, presentation, and distribution of art. This convergence of technologies presents a transformative opportunity to enhance curatorial work and redefine the role of the curator, including the role of art curators. In general, the traditional role of curators in production and distribution is to physically or traditionally exhibit artworks. However, with the advent of digital technology, their responsibilities must adapt in order to accommodate the emergence of digital technology. In light of the ethical considerations that have historically accompanied technological advancements, curators must strive to achieve a balance between the potential benefits of innovations and the promotion of cultural sensitivity and inclusivity. The convergence of AI and blockchain technologies enables curators to engage with artists and audiences more dynamically while facilitating a greater understanding of the ethical implications inherent in these technologies (Yusa et al., 2022; Piskopani, 2023).

Furthermore, the integration of AI and blockchain technologies has the potential to transform the art experience, enabling the customization and selection of artworks based on user preferences or previous engagement patterns. This could lead to a more dynamic visitor experience in museums and galleries. These technologies have the potential to do more than merely streamline and enhance the efficiency of curatorial tasks; they can also facilitate more interactive experiences that cater to the specific needs and tastes of visitors. Although artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology have the potential to transform the arts sector, they also give rise to concerns regarding privacy, intellectual property, and cultural inclusivity. The involvement of AI in art creation and curation practices gives rise to questions concerning the meaning of authenticity and originality ascribed to artworks produced by AI. Moreover, there is a possibility that the intrinsic bias of the algorithms employed may serve to reinforce existing inequalities about representation (Silva, 2023; Piskopani, 2023).

It is therefore evident that the integration of these technologies into curatorial practices necessitates the establishment of a comprehensive public policy framework. Governments must address the challenges that AI and blockchain technologies present. Such a framework should also encompass the assurance of intellectual property protection, the facilitation of artist compensation, and the implementation of anti-money laundering measures, to cite a few examples. The current absence of a transparent and positive legal framework governing these technologies has resulted in uncertainty and regulatory gaps. In order to mitigate these risks, governments must develop policies that ensure inclusive access to these digital tools, particularly for artists in underrepresented regions. This will prevent the digital divide from widening (Jobin & Ienca, 2019; Khan et al., 2022).

The ethical implications of these technologies mustn't be overlooked. While artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technologies have the potential to facilitate significant advancements in the arts, they also carry the risk of exacerbating existing inequalities, particularly about access to digital tools and platforms. It is the responsibility of policymakers to develop support structures and funding models that facilitate access for marginalized artists and communities, thus ensuring equitable participation in the evolving digital art landscape (Murphy et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2022).

This paper examines the role of AI and blockchain in curatorial practice and explores the policy implications of their application. The objective of this paper is to analyze recent trends and various case studies in order to highlight the transformative potential of these technologies while addressing the ethical, legal, and inclusivity challenges they face. It is therefore recommended that a shared framework and collaborative approach involving curators, artists, technologists, and policymakers be adopted in order to develop a sustainable and ethical framework for the future of art curation in the digital age (Jacobs & Simon, 2022; Morley et al., 2019). As the art world adapts to these technological advancements, it is incumbent upon curators to proactively influence the ethical and policy landscape, encouraging innovation while maintaining cultural integrity (Sánchez et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2023).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Curatorial Practice

The remarkable capacity of AI to process vast data sets and identify patterns in art and cultural artifacts has rendered it an indispensable instrument for the digitization of art-culture collections and the creation of bespoke exhibition experiences. The utilization of AI-based technologies has been evidenced to facilitate the organization and analysis of extensive collections, thereby enabling novel insights into historical trends, cultural exchanges, and artistic movements (Vacchio & Bifulco, 2022; Whitaker, 2019). To illustrate, artificial intelligence

algorithms can analyze visitor interactions and preferences, thereby enabling curators to adapt exhibitions to suit different audiences (Vacchio & Bifulco, 2022). This capability is particularly beneficial for cultural institutions seeking to enhance accessibility to their collections via virtual platforms, thereby facilitating a more inclusive engagement with art (Valeonti et al., 2021).

Blockchain in Provenance Tracking

Blockchain technology has emerged as a significant technological phenomenon in the domain of art provenance tracking, offering a transparent and secure mechanism to trace the history of a work of art and culture. Provenance, a fundamental aspect of the art market, has historically been vulnerable to forgery and misrepresentation. Blockchain provides a solution by offering a decentralized ledger that is not susceptible to manipulation, thus ensuring transparency and verification of the ownership history of a work of art (Vacchio & Bifulco, 2022; Whitaker, 2019). This is of great consequence for the maintenance of trust in the art market or the cultural industry, as it facilitates the authentication of artworks and protection against fraud. Furthermore, blockchain technology has been employed in the field of intellectual property management, affording artists enhanced autonomy and control over their creative output through the use of smart contracts. Such contracts automatically enforce provisions such as royalty payments when a work of art is resold, thereby ensuring that artists are fairly compensated for their creations (Asikin, 2023).

The Art-Science Ethics for Public Policy paradigm represents a framework that integrates ethical considerations and the consequences of art and science into the formulation of public policy, particularly in the context of evolving curatorial practices. The paradigm has become increasingly pertinent as museums and galleries have adopted technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain, which have the potential to significantly impact the curation, experience, and understanding of art. The objective of the paradigm is to facilitate interdisciplinary collaboration and ethical engagement in order to develop curatorial practices that are inclusive, equitable, and sensitive to art and culture. This is to resonate with audiences of diverse backgrounds, including those defined by ethnicity, race, gender, religion, and other types of identity.

Sigfúsdóttir (2021) puts forth the notion of "cross-border working" as a conduit for bridging the gap between disparate disciplines, to foster a unified understanding among stakeholders. Such collaborative approaches can enhance curatorial practice by integrating diverse perspectives and competencies, thus facilitating the development of more innovative and inclusive exhibitions. It is of the utmost importance that ethical considerations are acknowledged and addressed throughout the curatorial process, particularly when employing the use of AI and

blockchain technologies. Although Stavraki et al. primarily focus on consumer behavior in the context of marketing, their insights on balancing knowledge creation and dissemination can be extrapolated to the curatorial challenge of enhancing social inclusivity (Stavraki et al., 2018). By establishing an ethical framework that governs the utilization of technology in curatorial practice, museums and galleries can ensure that their exhibitions reflect a comprehensive range of cultural representations and narratives and mitigate bias.

The Ethical Art-Science Paradigm

In light of this new awareness, the public must be involved in the curatorial process in order to encourage inclusivity and ensure that diverse voices are heard. The Ethical Art-Science Paradigm proposes the implementation of participatory methodologies that facilitate visitor engagement in the co-creation of content and dialectical engagement with exhibitions. Høffding et al. posit that the implementation of participatory agendas in museums can facilitate a democratization of the art experience, enabling visitors to contribute to the narrative and interpretation of artworks (Høffding et al., 2019). Such engagement and participation serve to enrich the visitor experience, whilst simultaneously encouraging and fostering a sense of ownership and connection to the artwork in question. This paradigm acknowledges the significance of cultural sensitivity in curatorial practice. As discussed by Whittington (2022), mainstream museums must move beyond the mere inclusion of marginalized communities to actively empower them by affording them control over the representations and narratives presented in exhibitions.

By prioritizing cultural sensitivity, curators can create exhibitions that are more dynamic and resonate with diverse audiences, reflecting the complexity of artistic and cultural heritage. The integration of AI and blockchain in curatorial practice must be approached with rigor to avoid potential ethical issues. Mathiyazhagan and Fors (2023) highlight the necessity for inclusive methodologies that consider the rights and perspectives of all stakeholders, particularly in the context of AI policy. By adopting responsible practices, curators can capitalize on the potential of the technology while reducing the risks and concerns associated with exclusion and cultural bias.

METHOD

This research employs a qualitative approach to examine the implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology in curatorial practice, with a particular focus on their disruptive influence on the art scene. The methodology employed is a combination of case study analysis and

theoretical analysis, to examine the potential for these technologies to enhance curatorial practice, redefine the role of the curator, and increase efficiency, transparency, and accessibility in the management and distribution of artworks. This research specifically examines the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to personalize the art experience and the capacity of blockchain technology to enhance transparency in art transactions through decentralized provenance tracking.

The data for this research was gathered from a variety of secondary sources. The case studies illustrate the practical implementation of AI and blockchain technology in enhancing curatorial practices and audience engagement, as well as the function of blockchain in guaranteeing secure and transparent art transactions. Furthermore, academic literature on AI, blockchain, and public policy was reviewed with the aim of assessing and exploring the ethical challenges and legal implications of integrating these technologies into curatorial practice.

Subsequently, the data was subjected to comparative analysis to identify the key themes about the efficiency, personalization, and accessibility opportunities offered by AI, as well as the ethical and legal challenges associated with the application of blockchain technology. Furthermore, the research examines how this technology addresses issues of intellectual property, arts and cultural inclusivity, and unequal digital access for artists in underrepresented regions. In particular, the theoretical framework of ethical innovation is employed to investigate how public policy frameworks might address these challenges.

RESULTS

This research primarily concerns the nexus between AI, blockchain, and curatorial practices, with a particular focus on digital art distribution, personalized visitor experiences, and the ethical implications of technology in the arts sector. The objective of this research is to evaluate the technological and policy challenges and opportunities presented by these new technological tools, with a particular focus on ensuring equitable access and protecting cultural integrity across the global arts community. The materials used in this research comprise case study reports, academic articles, and industry publications that explore the application of AI and blockchain in art curation.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology in curatorial practices has resulted in a notable transformation of traditional and digital art governance, presentation, and distribution practices. These technologies have presented novel opportunities to enhance efficiency, transparency, and accessibility within the art world. Artificial intelligence (AI) can analyze vast data sets and identify patterns that are not immediately apparent to the human mind. This enables curators to manage art collections more efficiently and effectively. This enables the

discovery of relationships that may not be immediately apparent through traditional methods. Furthermore, machine learning and image recognition technologies can facilitate a more sophisticated categorization of artworks, which is particularly beneficial for institutions managing extensive and heterogeneous collections.

The decentralized nature of blockchain provides a secure and transparent means of tracing the provenance of artworks, thereby ensuring that their ownership and authenticity can be transparently verified by various parties. This innovation assists curators in addressing the long-standing challenges of forgery, misrepresentation, and often ambiguous ownership histories within the traditional art world. Furthermore, blockchain technology facilitates the utilization of smart contracts, which ensures fair remuneration for artists and can expedite the automation of royalty disbursements, thus enabling artists to maintain an enduring financial association with their works after the initial sale. Furthermore, the implementation of AI technology has the potential to enrich the visitor experience by facilitating personalized interactions with artworks. By analyzing visitors' preferences, behaviors, and demographic data, AI systems can recommend personalized artworks, exhibitions, or learning content, thus creating museum and gallery experiences that are dynamically customizable. Such personalization has the effect of increasing visitor engagement and fostering a deeper dynamic relationship between the audience and the artworks, thereby enhancing the interactivity and immersiveness of museum visits. Furthermore, educational tools driven by artificial intelligence, such as chatbots and augmented reality (AR), facilitate customizable learning experiences and enable visitors to explore art at their own pace and according to their interests.

Nevertheless, the integration of AI and blockchain in curatorial practices gives rise to several significant ethical issues. One significant challenge is the potential for bias in AI. This is particularly the case when data sets fail to adequately reflect the diversity of global artistic representations. Such bias may result in the marginalization of non-Western art cultures and artists, with data representations likely to reflect narrow cultural perspectives. In order to address this challenge, curators must collaborate with AI. It is incumbent upon developers to ensure that data sets represent the full spectrum of artistic styles and cultural contexts, thereby promoting inclusivity and equity in curatorial decision-making. Although blockchain offers a robust system for tracking and transparency, it gives rise to concerns regarding artist remuneration, particularly in decentralized markets. A public policy framework must be established to regulate the utilization of blockchain technology, ensuring that intellectual property rights are upheld, and artists are duly compensated, particularly in the context of NFTs and digital art. Furthermore, concerns have been expressed regarding unequal access to digital

resources, particularly for artists in underrepresented regions who may lack the financial means to engage with the digital art market.

In conclusion, it can be stated that AI and blockchain technologies present a range of transformative opportunities for contemporary curatorial practice. Such solutions facilitate greater efficiency in operational aspects, enhance the dynamics of the visitor experience, and ensure transparency in art transactions. Nevertheless, ethical concerns about intellectual property, cultural inclusivity, and digital access must be meticulously addressed. The establishment of a public policy framework is imperative to regulate these technologies, ensuring their utilization in a responsible, fair, and sustainable manner. A collaborative approach involving curators, artists, technologists, and policymakers is crucial to creating an ethically sound framework for integrating AI and blockchain, maintaining art-cultural integrity, and promoting equitable access to the benefits of these technologies.

DISCUSSION

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Curatorial Practice

The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in curatorial practice has recently been identified as a transformative tool that enhances the analytical capabilities of curators. The utilization of machine learning algorithms and image recognition technology enables AI to process vast quantities of data, facilitating the categorization and organization of extensive collections of artworks with greater expediency than is possible for humans. This capacity is particularly beneficial for institutions that manage extensive collections, as AI can facilitate the discovery of patterns in art collections that may not be discernible through manual or traditional analyses. To illustrate, AI technology systems can rapidly and effectively identify visual elements such as brushstrokes, color palettes, and stylistic techniques, enabling curators to categorize artworks based on these characteristics (Pereira & Moreschi, 2019). Such technological developments are of immense value to curators in the organization of exhibitions, enabling the presentation of a coherent narrative and thus enhancing the visitor experience (Audry, 2021).

Furthermore, AI-driven systems have demonstrated the capacity to analyze extensive historical datasets in order to identify connections between disparate artistic movements. Such analytical power enables curators to present more comprehensive exhibitions, encompassing the evolution of art over time and across diverse production contexts and cultures. The application of AI enables curators to gain novel insights into the interconnections between artists, artistic movements, and cultural contexts, thereby enriching the interpretive possibilities of their exhibitions (Chatterjee, 2022). The incorporation of AI into the curatorial process

not only augments analytical techniques but also alters how audiences engage and interact with exhibitions. The application of artificial intelligence technology has enabled the creation of bespoke visitor experiences, allowing museum attendees to engage with exhibitions according to their preferences and interests. The utilization of machine learning algorithms enables the generation of bespoke recommendations for visitors, based on their historical interactions with museum collections (Giannini & Bowen, 2022). Such personalization would undoubtedly encourage a deeper engagement between visitors and the artworks they encounter, thereby enhancing the interactive and immersive nature of the museum experience. Artificial intelligence systems are capable of analyzing a multitude of visitor data, including previous interactions, preferences, and demographic information, in order to provide personalized recommendations for artworks and exhibitions. Ivanov's work demonstrates the potential of AI to utilize contextual geofencing to present content aligned with visitors' interests, thereby enhancing their engagement levels (Ivanov, 2023). By presenting content that resonates with visitors, a museum or gallery can stimulate curiosity and encourage active exploration, thereby facilitating a more meaningful experience. The application of artificial intelligence can facilitate the creation of interactive exhibits and multimedia presentations that are capable of catering to a diverse range of visitor preferences. The utilization of AI-based tools enables museums and galleries to design experiences that are capable of adapting in real-time to visitor interactions, thus facilitating a dynamic exploration of collections. Pisoni et al. (2021) emphasizes the significance of human-centered design in the creation of accessible cultural heritage experiences, which can be achieved through the utilization of AI technologies. Such adaptability has the potential to enhance visitor satisfaction and facilitate a more profound engagement with artworks, particularly in terms of appreciation and communication.

Furthermore, artificial intelligence (AI) technology has the potential to enhance the educational dimension of museum and gallery visits by offering customized learning experiences. As Wei (2019) asserts, augmented reality (AR) applications have the potential to enhance visitors' learning outcomes by offering personalized content that aligns with their interests and knowledge level. This approach has the additional benefit of enhancing the enjoyment of the learning experience while encouraging visitors to engage more deeply with the artwork and its various contexts and history. For instance, chatbots powered by artificial intelligence have the potential to facilitate reflective engagement by prompting visitors with questions or open-ended communication about the artworks they encounter. As outlined by Gollapalli et al. (2023), the ArtMuse project employs the use of artificial intelligence-powered chatbots to prompt visitors with reflective inquiries, thereby encouraging critical thinking about their experiences. Such

dynamic interaction and communication can facilitate a deeper comprehension of the artistic works and a more active engagement with the exhibitions, transforming the museum visit into a dialogue rather than a monologue.

Piancatelli et al. (2020) examined how visitors utilize social media platforms, such as Instagram, to disseminate their experiences and perspectives on art. By encouraging visitors to document and disseminate their experiences of the artworks on display, museums, and galleries can foster a sense of community and facilitate dialogue around their collections. Such social engagement has the potential to enhance the reach of museum messages while fostering a participatory culture in which visitors feel engaged and empowered to express their views. The implementation of feedback mechanisms that permit visitors to share their experiences can serve to further enhance the personalization of interactions and communication with museum collections or works exhibited by galleries. Conversely, the collation of data about visitor preferences and satisfaction enables museums to undertake continuous refinement of their AI systems and offerings. This iterative process guarantees that the museum will consistently respond to the needs and interests of its visitors, thereby fostering a culture of engagement and inclusivity.

Furthermore, AI-based accessibility tools have been implemented to remove language barriers and facilitate engagement with artistic works by diverse audiences. The application of natural language processing and translation technologies enables museums and galleries to provide audio guides and interactive displays in multiple languages, thereby catering to the varying linguistic needs of native speakers. Similarly, AI-powered image recognition systems have generated audio descriptions for visitors with disabilities, such as visually impaired individuals, thereby ensuring their comprehensive engagement with the exhibited artworks (Chatterjee, 2022). The aforementioned AI applications illustrate the potential for enhancing accessibility to art, thereby expanding the inclusivity of exhibitions and reflecting the diversity of audiences.

Nevertheless, despite the potential of AI to transform curatorial practices, there are several significant ethical concerns regarding its use. A significant challenge is the potential for AI systems to perpetuate various biases, particularly when trained with data sets that are not representative of global art traditions and representations. The reliance on Western-centric datasets has been identified as a significant issue, as AI systems trained with such data may ignore or undervalue non-Western art traditions (Richardson, 2022). This has implications for the inclusivity of exhibitions, as marginalized artists and cultural groups may be underrepresented in AI-driven curatorial processes and outcomes. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into curatorial practice offers a valuable opportunity to enhance the representation and accessibility of diverse art forms. However,

reliance on data sets that are predominantly Western centred poses a risk of cultural exclusion, which may result in an undervaluation of non-Western art traditions. Such cultural biases have the potential to perpetuate inequalities in the curation of art, resulting in the marginalization of artists and art-cultural groups that do not align with dominant narratives. To address these shortcomings, various stakeholders must develop inclusive data sets and conduct transparent training models that ensure global diversity is well represented in exhibition production and curatorial practices.

In order to reduce cultural bias, data sets must be curated in a manner that encompasses a diverse range of artistic traditions, styles, and artistic and cultural contexts. This necessitates the active involvement of artists and cultural groups that have been historically marginalized or from underrepresented regions. Echesony (2024) emphasizes the necessity for inclusivity in art exhibitions, a principle that can be reflected in the data sets employed for AI training. By extending the scope of the data collected, curators can guarantee that AI systems are exposed to a diverse range of artistic expressions, thereby reducing the likelihood of cultural exclusion. It is crucial to engage with a diverse range of global artistic communities in order to develop an inclusive data set. It is recommended that curators engage in collaborative endeavors with artists, arts and cultural organizations, and academic institutions representing diverse backgrounds and disciplines. This approach is essential for the collection of data that reflects the multiple perspectives and contexts of production. Such collaborative approaches can facilitate the identification of underrepresented art and cultural forms and traditions, thus ensuring their inclusion in AI training datasets. The formation of these alliances will facilitate a more equitable representation of global artistic practices within the art world. It is of the utmost importance that the process of training AI systems should be conducted with complete transparency in order to address any potential cultural biases. It is recommended that researchers and developers make their training datasets openly accessible to the public, thereby facilitating monitoring and feedback from a wider and more diverse community. Such transparency can facilitate the identification of potential biases and gaps in representation, thereby enabling stakeholders to make the requisite adjustments. By promoting transparency, the art-culture community can engage in collaborative efforts to refine the AI system and ensure its inclusivity.

An ethical framework must be established to direct the creation of training models that prioritize inclusivity in AI development. Such frameworks should establish best practice guidelines for data collection, algorithm design, and the selection of appropriate evaluation metrics that take cultural diversity into account. In their research, Tryggestad et al. (2022) highlights the significance of ethical considerations in data curation, which can have a profound impact on the outcomes

of AI applications. Adherence to these ethical guidelines can facilitate the creation of AI systems that are more attuned to the complexities of art and cultural representations. Furthermore, it is of the utmost importance to conduct regular audits of AI algorithms employed in curatorial practice, to evaluate their efficacy in representing diverse artistic traditions. This process entails the evaluation of the output of AI systems to identify any potential biases or deficiencies in representation. Conducting such audits enables curators to guarantee and consider that the recommendations and choices made by AI exhibit a balanced perspective on global art and cultural practices. Such evaluations can assist in maintaining accountability and promoting fairness in curatorial decisions. The implementation of feedback mechanisms that permit artists and other stakeholders in the arts and culture to provide input to AI-based curatorial processes is of paramount importance in the pursuit of inclusivity. By creating opportunities for dialectical discourse, curators can gain insights into the experiences and perspectives of marginalized artists, which can inform future AI training and curatorial strategies. Such an approach is of significant importance, as it can facilitate the empowerment of artists, collectives, or communities, thereby ensuring that their voices are heard in the decision-making processes that shape curatorial exhibitions.

In order to mitigate the potential for cultural exclusion in AI-based curatorial practices, it is essential to adopt a comprehensive approach that prioritizes the incorporation of diverse data sets and transparent training models. Conversely, as AI becomes progressively more proficient at forecasting trends and analyzing market data, there is a danger that artists may feel compelled to create works that align with data-driven preferences rather than pursuing an authentic creative process (Xing, 2018). It is imperative that all relevant parties, including artists and cultural actors whose preferences are facilitated by AI, recognize this. The ethical implications of AI in curatorial practice highlight the necessity for public policy interventions that guarantee transparency, and that AI is employed to augment, rather than restrict, artistic expression (Richardson, 2022). Although artificial intelligence has the potential to enhance curatorial performance and practice, it also necessitates a thorough investigation of the ethical implications associated with its utilization, as cultural institutions continue to integrate AI. These concerns must be addressed in order to guarantee that the application of AI in curatorial practice can foster inclusivity, creativity, and authentic engagement with art (Audry, 2021).

The Role of Blockchain in Curatorial Practice

Blockchain technology has emerged as a transformative force in several sectors, including the arts. The application of blockchain technology in curatorial practice offers novel opportunities for enhancing transparency, provenance

tracking, and audience engagement. By capitalizing on the decentralized and immutable nature of blockchain, curators can address long-standing challenges in the art market, including issues of counterfeiting, misrepresentation, and the complexities of ownership practices. One of the most notable advantages of blockchain technology in the context of curatorial practice is its capacity to provide a secure and transparent record of the provenance of artworks. As Zhou (2023) notes, blockchain can create a distributed database that accurately represents transaction information for high-value artworks, thereby ensuring that ownership history is verifiable and tamper-proof. This functionality is of great importance to curators, as it allows for the authentication of artworks and the prevention of counterfeiting, thus fostering trust among collectors and institutions.

The immutable nature of blockchain records ensures that once information is permanently recorded, it cannot be altered or deleted. This characteristic is of particular value and importance in the art world, where disputes over ownership and authenticity are common due to claims or loss of proof of ownership. Blockchain technology enables curators to provide a reliable source of information regarding the history of artworks, including previous owners, exhibition history, and any restorations or modifications made (Franceschet et al., 2021). Such transparency is of benefit not only to curators but also serves to instill confidence in collectors, galleries, museums, auction houses, and the general public. Blockchain technology enables the utilization of smart contracts, which are self-executing agreements comprising terms that are directly encoded within the code. It is noteworthy that such contracts have the potential to streamline the process of selling and transferring artworks, thereby guaranteeing that artists receive fair compensation and that their ownership rights are clearly defined and transparent. As emphasized by Franceschet et al., smart contracts could facilitate the secure trading of crypto art, thereby enabling artists to retain control of their work and receive royalties from future sales (Franceschet et al., 2021). This innovation has the potential to disrupt traditional art market practices that are often opaque. However, if it is adapted appropriately, it will undoubtedly result in greater transparency and fairness for the various parties within the art ecosystem, including artists and curators.

Blockchain technology has the potential to facilitate the creation of a decentralized marketplace for art transactions, thereby reducing the necessity for intermediaries such as galleries and auction houses. Such a transition has the potential to reduce transaction costs and increase accessibility for artists and collectors directly. The elimination of intermediaries permits curators to engage directly with audiences, thereby fostering a more inclusive and equitable art market (Franceschet & Libera, 2023). This democratization of the art market is aligned with increased accessibility and inclusivity in curatorial practices. Blockchain

technology has the potential to enhance audience engagement by facilitating novel forms of interactive experiences that foster a more dynamic relationship between visitors and artworks. To illustrate, curators may construct digital platforms that employ blockchain technology to furnish visitors with exhaustive data concerning artworks, including their provenance and artist biographies. Such transparency can facilitate a more profound comprehension and appreciation of the artwork, thereby fostering a more meaningful relationship (Lacedelli et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the deployment of blockchain technology has the potential to facilitate the formation of an art community or social scene among artists, curators, and audiences. Conversely, the establishment of a decentralized platform for the dissemination and exchange of artworks may cultivate a sense of belonging and collaboration within the art community among curators. This approach aligns with the participatory ethos of contemporary curatorial practice, which prioritizes engagement and interaction (Sendra, 2023). Blockchain technology provides curators with the means to construct a platform for dialogue and exchange, thereby enhancing and expanding the cultural landscape. While blockchain technology offers several benefits, it is important to consider the environmental impact of certain blockchain technologies, in particular those that rely on energy-intensive consensus mechanisms such as proof of work systems. As Jiang et al. observe, the sustainability of blockchain applications is a significant concern that must be addressed to ensure these technologies align with the overarching goal of environmental responsibility (Jiang et al., 2022). It is the responsibility of curators and institutions to conduct thorough due diligence and review when adopting blockchain solutions. The integration of blockchain technology into curatorial practice gives rise to several regulatory and ethical considerations that must be addressed with due care and attention. It is incumbent upon curators to consider the implications of data privacy, intellectual property rights, and potential exploitation in a decentralized marketplace. As Upmanyu (2023) notes, the dynamic nature of digital technologies necessitates continuous research and discourse to guarantee that curatorial practices remain ethical and inclusive.

Blockchain technology has the potential to transform how curatorial works are managed, enabling more effective provenance tracking, streamlined transactions, and innovative audience engagement. Blockchain technology can be employed by curators to engender a greater degree of transparency, fairness, and inclusivity in the creation of art experiences. Nevertheless, it is imperative to address the ethical challenges and implications associated with this technology in order to guarantee that its implementation aligns with the fundamental values of the art world, namely inclusivity and cultural sensitivity. As the art world undergoes further evolution, blockchain technology will assume an increasingly significant role in determining the future of curatorial practice.

Ethical Integration and Policy Frameworks

Public policy development must play an instrumental role in regulating the utilization of AI in curatorial practice, with a specific emphasis on the prevention of bias and the promotion of inclusivity. Art curation systems are trained with diverse and representative data sets, thus preventing the marginalization of certain cultural groups (Daneshjou et al., 2022). Ethical standards must be established for the development and implementation of AI. Technologies in the arts sector, in particular, those that prioritize transparency and accountability (Goncharov et al., 2019), Furthermore, public policies should facilitate collaboration between cultural institutions and AI developers to ensure that curatorial practices remain inclusive and reflect global artistic traditions (O'Dwyer, 2018).

The advent of blockchain technology has introduced a new set of challenges for public policy, particularly about the safeguarding of intellectual property rights. As blockchain platforms have demonstrated a growing presence in the art market, policymakers must develop a framework that protects artists' rights while fostering innovation. This encompasses the formulation of legal norms to enforce intellectual property rights on blockchain platforms and the assurance that smart contracts are designed to benefit artists (Zeilinger, 2016). It is incumbent upon public policy to address the environmental impact of blockchain technology and to encourage the adoption of more sustainable solutions (Sunoqrot et al., 2022). It is incumbent upon public policy to address the complexities that AI and blockchain technologies bring to curatorial practice effectively and inclusively. By addressing issues of bias, inclusivity, intellectual property, and environmental sustainability, policymakers can facilitate the creation of a more equitable and innovative arts sector that capitalizes on the benefits of these technologies while mitigating the risks (Daneshjou et al., 2022; Zeilinger, 2016).

In order to successfully navigate these complexities, it is essential to adopt a collaborative approach that involves input from a diverse range of stakeholders, including curators, artists, technologists, and policymakers. The initial step in guaranteeing ethical integration is to establish comprehensive guidelines that address the distinctive challenges posed by AI and blockchain technologies. As Tang et al. observed, it is of the utmost importance to establish ethical principles that govern the design and implementation of blockchain systems (Tang et al., 2019). Such guidelines should address issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the implications of automated decision-making in curatorial practice, among others. By engaging in the collaborative development of these guidelines, all stakeholders can ensure that ethical considerations are prioritized from the outset, thereby guaranteeing that the resulting framework is ethically sound. Transparency is a fundamental aspect of ethical practice. It is recommended that curators and

technologists work together to create systems that provide transparent visibility into the functioning of AI algorithms and record-keeping on blockchain technology. Such transparency can assist in allaying public concerns about bias and discrimination in AI systems, as stakeholders can subject the data and algorithms used in curators' decisions to rigorous scrutiny (Salah et al., 2019). Furthermore, the implementation of accountability mechanisms will facilitate the ability of stakeholders to be held accountable in the event of ethical lapses, thus fostering trust among various parties in the arts-culture ecosystem, including artists, curators, and the public.

Curatorial practices must be inclusive when integrating technology that has the potential to influence the representation of artists and artworks. It is incumbent upon curators to proactively engage with artists from a multiplicity of backgrounds, thereby ensuring that their perspectives are incorporated into the decision-making processes that are conducted collaboratively. Such engagement can be achieved through the implementation of workshops, focus groups, and collaborative projects, which serve as conduits for artists to contribute to the development of AI and blockchain systems (Saxena & Gayathri, 2023). By amplifying and including the voices of those who have been historically underrepresented, the art world can create a more equitable environment that reflects a broader spectrum of art-cultural narratives. In order to promote an inclusive ethos, it is essential to provide and create educational and training opportunities for all parties within the arts and cultural sector, including artists and curators, with a focus on AI and blockchain technology. By equipping stakeholders with the requisite knowledge and skills to navigate these technologies, the art world can reduce barriers to entry and empower a greater number of participants (MANDYCH, 2023). The implementation of collaborative training programs involving technology experts can facilitate a more profound comprehension of how these technologies can be employed for artistic expression and curatorial practices.

The design and implementation of AI and blockchain technologies must be sensitive to the nuances of art-cultural contexts and values. Curators and technologists must work together to ensure that these technologies do not unwittingly commodify or misrepresent cultural heritage (Charles et al., 2023). Such collaboration could entail consultations with experts in the arts and culture, as well as representatives of various communities, to ascertain the implications of technology on art, culture, and artifact practices. By prioritizing cultural integrity, stakeholders can construct systems that demonstrate respect and appreciation for the significance of diverse artistic traditions. The integration of blockchain technology for provenance tracking and AI technology for audience engagement should not compromise the contextual meaning of art and cultural works. Curators and technologists must work in close collaboration to develop systems that preserve

the narrative and cultural significance of artworks while capitalizing on the advantages offered by these technologies (Singh, 2023). This may necessitate the development of digital platforms that provide comprehensive contextual information in conjunction with engaging blockchain records, thereby facilitating the audience's ability to appreciate the underlying meaning of the works.

Conversely, the integration of AI and blockchain technology presents a significant opportunity for the development of novel economic models that can benefit all stakeholders within the art and cultural sector. For instance, the deployment of smart contracts can facilitate the automation of royalty payments, thereby ensuring that artists receive fair compensation for their works (MANDYCH, 2023). Through concerted efforts in developing these economic models, various parties in the art ecosystem can construct a system that promotes financial equality and sustainability in the art market.

CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology in curatorial practices has resulted in a transformation of the management, presentation, and distribution of art, with notable changes occurring in both the traditional and digital art landscapes. These technologies provide innovative solutions to long-standing problems in the traditional art world, enhancing operational efficiency, transparency, and accessibility. Artificial intelligence (AI) is capable of analyzing vast data sets with remarkable swiftness, thereby enabling curators to manage art collections more effectively and efficiently. This is accomplished by discerning relationships that may not be readily discernible through conventional methodologies. Furthermore, machine learning and image recognition technologies enable more sophisticated categorization and identification of artworks, particularly in institutions that manage extensive and heterogeneous collections. Concurrently, blockchain technology provides a secure and transparent system for tracing the provenance of data or artworks, thereby ensuring the veracity and durability of the data or artworks' history of provenance and ownership. This is of particular significance for curators attempting to address the intricate and multifaceted issues of forgery, misrepresentation, and the subtleties of ownership.

Furthermore, blockchain technology facilitates the utilization of smart contracts, thereby guaranteeing that artists receive commensurate royalties through the automation of royalty payments from secondary markets. This not only safeguards the intellectual property of artists but also permits them to retain an enduring financial interest in their work following the initial sale, thereby fostering a long-term relationship between artists and their work. Furthermore, the utilization

of artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to enrich the visitor experience, enabling personalized interactions with artworks that align with their preferences. By analyzing visitors' preferences, behavioral history, and demographic data, AI systems can recommend specific artworks, exhibitions, or educational content tailored to individual interests and tastes, thereby creating a dynamic and immersive museum experience. Such personalization encourages a deeper engagement with dynamic artworks, thereby transforming the visitor experience into a more interactive and meaningful encounter. Furthermore, educational tools driven by artificial intelligence, such as chatbots and augmented reality (AR), provide customizable learning experiences that permit visitors to explore art at their own pace, thereby increasing accessibility and engagement in a participatory and collaborative manner.

Nevertheless, integrating AI and blockchain into curatorial practice also gives rise to several significant ethical issues that must be addressed in order to realize their full potential. A significant concern is the potential for bias in AI. If the data sets used to train AI models do not represent the plurality of global artistic traditions, this could result in the marginalization of non-Western art and artists, leading to the creation of exhibitions that are inappropriate in their representation. This issue necessitates collaboration between the various stakeholders in the art and cultural sector, including curators and those working in the field of artificial intelligence. Developers must ensure diversity, inclusivity, and global representation of artistic styles and art-cultural contexts in the datasets used to train AI. It is of the utmost importance that the curatorial decision-making process is founded upon the principle of inclusivity within the arts and culture, in order to prevent the perpetuation of existing biases within the art world.

A further significant ethical challenge is the impact of blockchain technology on intellectual property rights. Although blockchain provides a transparent and secure method of tracing provenance and guaranteeing authenticity, it also gives rise to questions regarding artist remuneration, particularly in a decentralized market. Of particular concern is the use of NFTs and digital art, the question of how intellectual property rights can be respected, and the issue of whether artists are adequately compensated in this new market. A public policy framework must be established to regulate the utilization of blockchain technology, thereby ensuring the protection of the rights of artists and creative agents and guaranteeing fair and sustainable compensation mechanisms. Furthermore, deficiencies in access to and proficiency with digital knowledge in underrepresented regions present a significant obstacle to equitable participation in the digital art market. A significant number of artists in these regions are unable to access the requisite knowledge, support resources, and technological infrastructure

to engage with the digital art ecosystem. This further exacerbates global disparities in access to opportunities.

In conclusion, it can be argued that AI and blockchain technologies have the potential to transform curatorial practice. Both provide tools that can be employed to enhance operational efficiency and streamline workflows, while also fostering more profound audience engagement and ensuring transparent art transactions. Nevertheless, the successful integration of these technologies into the art world must be accompanied by an approach that considers ethical issues, including the protection of intellectual property, arts and cultural inclusivity, and access to technology for underrepresented artists or cultural actors. It is therefore imperative that a public policy framework is established to guarantee that these technologies are used in a responsible, fair, and sustainable manner, and to contribute to the creation of a more inclusive and transparent art ecosystem.

In order to achieve this, it is essential to adopt a collaborative approach and adapt it to suit the needs of the arts and cultural sector. This should involve input from a variety of parties, including curators, artists, technologists, and policymakers. By working together, stakeholders can construct an ethically sound framework for integrating AI and blockchain technologies, thereby ensuring that these technologies can be utilized to promote equitable access, maintain cultural integrity, and respect the rights of artists and cultural actors. Further research and innovation in this area is required in order to address the evolving challenges and opportunities presented by these technologies, which will continue to undergo rapid change and evolution. Thus far, they have had a significant impact on the art world, and this is likely to continue.

It is anticipated that future research will address the ethical and regulatory implications of AI and blockchain technologies in the context of public policy, given the transformative impact of these technologies on curatorial practice. In particular, future research should investigate the following areas:

1. It would be advantageous for future research to examine innovative, solution-oriented, and prospective strategies for addressing digital access inequalities from underrepresented regions. Such initiatives might include the provision of affordable digital tools and the organization of diverse forms of blockchain and AI educational training. Such measures will help guarantee that digital art distribution is inclusive and accessible, thereby fostering a more global and diverse art ecosystem.
2. The potential to combine artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, and new technologies such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) may present novel opportunities and innovations for enhancing curatorial practices and audience engagement. Future research could focus on how these technologies can be employed in conjunction with one another to create more immersive and transparent art experiences. It would be beneficial to investigate the potential for integrating

blockchain technology's provenance tracking capabilities with AI-based personalized experiences in AR or VR environments. This could provide novel methods for curators to present artworks and for audiences to engage with them. By conducting and discussing this area of future research, academics, and practitioners can facilitate the ethical, transparent, inclusive, and sustainable integration of AI and blockchain technology into curatorial practice, thereby contributing to the development of a more equitable and transparent paradigm of art science by harnessing the potential of exponentially changing and evolving technologies. References

REFERENCES

Asikin, U. (2023). Intellectual property rights in using blockchain technology from a contemporary Islamic legal perspective. *Daengku Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Innovation, 3*(6), 981–997. https://doi.org/10.35877/454ri.daengku2148

Audry, S. (2021). Art in the age of machine learning. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12832.001.0001

Bellaiche, L., Shahi, R., Turpin, M., Ragnhildstveit, A., Sprockett, S., Barr, N., … & Seli, P. (2023). Humans versus AI: Whether and why we prefer human-created compared to AI-created artwork. *Cognitive Research Principles and Implications, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41235-023-00499-6

Capocasale, V., & Perboli, G. (2022). Standardizing smart contracts. *IEEE Access, 10*, 91203-91212. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3202550

Charles, V., Emrouznejad, A., & Gherman, T. (2023). A critical analysis of the integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence for supply chain. *Annals of Operations Research, 327*(1), 7-47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05169-w

Chatterjee, A. (2022). Art in an age of artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in Psychology, p. 13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1024449

Chembe, C. (2023). The fuss about artificial intelligence in the education sector: Should we worry? *Zambia ICT Journal, 7*(2), 30-35. https://doi.org/10.33260/zictjournal.v7i2.269

Daneshjou, R., Vodrahalli, K., Novoa, R., Jenkins, M., Liang, W., Rotemberg, V., … & Chiou, A. (2022). Disparities in dermatology AI performance on a diverse, curated clinical image set. *Science Advances, 8*(32). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abq6147

Echesony, G. (2024). Perception of gender roles in modern art exhibitions in Nigeria. *American Journal of Arts Social and Humanity Studies, 4*(1), 33-42. https://doi.org/10.47672/ajashs.2066

Edema, T. (2023). Contract law in an era of technology: Examining liability in smart contract transactions. *ALJ, 8*(1), 74-92. https://doi.org/10.53982/alj.2020.0801.05-j

Gangadharbatla, H. (2021). The role of AI attribution knowledge in the evaluation of artwork. *Empirical Studies of the Arts, 40*(2), 125-142. https://doi.org/10.1177/0276237421994697

Giannini, T., & Bowen, J. (2022). Museums and digital culture: From reality to digitality in the age of COVID-19. *Heritage, 5*(1), 192-214. https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage5010011

Goldenfein, J., & Leiter, A. (2018). Legal engineering on the blockchain: 'Smart contracts' as legal conduct. *Law and Critique, 29*(2), 141-149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10978-018-9224-0

Gollapalli, S., Du, M., & Ng, S. (2023). Generating reflective questions for engaging gallery visitors in ArtMuse. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 37*(13), 16434-16436. https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v37i13.27070

Goncharov, D., Rychkova, N., & Slukin, S. (2019). Some aspects of copyright and related rights protection in the digital environment. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Modern Technologies in Digital Economy*. https://doi.org/10.2991/mtde-19.2019.91

Hamledari, H., & Fischer, M. (2021). Construction payment automation using blockchain-enabled smart contracts and robotic reality capture technologies. *Automation in Construction, 132*, 103926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103926

Ivanov, R. (2023). ExhibitXplorer: Enabling personalized content delivery in museums using contextual geofencing and artificial intelligence. *Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202308.1925.v1

Jacobs, M., & Simon, J. (2022). Reexamining computer ethics in light of AI systems and AI regulation. *AI and Ethics, 3*(4), 1203-1213. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00229-6

Janssen, A., & Đurović, M. (2018). The formation of blockchain-based smart contracts in the light of contract law. *European Review of Private Law/Revue Européenne De Droit Privé/Europäische Zeitschrift Für Privatrecht, 26*(6), 753-771. https://doi.org/10.54648/erpl2018053

Jobin, A., & Ienca, M. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389-399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Kamposiori, C., Warwick, C., & Mahony, S. (2018). Accessing and using digital libraries in art history. *In Digital Humanities and Scholarly Research Trends in the Asia-Pacific* (pp. 83-101). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76992-9_6

Kazim, E., & Koshiyama, A. (2020). A high-level overview of AI ethics. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3609292

Khan, A., Akbar, M., Fahmideh, M., Liang, P., Waseem, M., Ahmad, A., … & Abrahamsson, P. (2023). AI ethics: An empirical study on the views of practitioners and lawmakers. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, 10*(6), 2971-2984. https://doi.org/10.1109/tcss.2023.3251729

Khan, A., Akbar, M., Fahmideh, M., Peng, L., Waseem, M., Ahmad, A., … & Abrahamsson, P. (2022). AI ethics: Software practitioners and lawmakers' points of view. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2207.01493

Khan, A., Badshah, S., Liang, P., Khan, B., Waseem, M., Niazi, M., … & Akbar, M. (2022). Ethics of AI: A systematic literature review of principles and challenges. *Proceedings of the ACM, 11,* 383-392. https://doi.org/10.1145/3530019.3531329

Kihia, S. (2023). Billfish in coastal visual art in Kenya: A resource for ocean science communication. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science, 22*(1), 67-77. https://doi.org/10.4314/wiojms.v22i1.7

Kong, F. (2020). Application of artificial intelligence in modern art teaching. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet), 15*(13), 238. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i13.15351

Kudumakis, P., Wilmering, T., Sandler, M., Rodríguez-Doncel, V., Boch, L., & Delgado, J. (2020). The challenge: From MPEG intellectual property rights ontologies to smart contracts and blockchains [Standards in a nutshell]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 37*(2), 89-95. https://doi.org/10.1109/msp.2019.2955207

Lewicki, M. (2022). Taming liquidity: The relation between materiality and the value of artworks on the example of Polish NFT auctions. *Widok Teorie I Praktyki Kultury Wizualnej, (34)*. https://doi.org/10.36854/widok/2022.34.2630

Mala, C. (2023). Revolutionizing industries with blockchain and AI: A journey into decentralized intelligence. https://doi.org/10.51219/urforum.2023.chandana-sree-mala

Mamykina, L., Epstein, D., Klasnja, P., Sprujt-Metz, D., Meyer, J., Czerwinski, M., … & Lim, B. (2022). Grand challenges for personal informatics and AI. https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3503718

Mandych, O. (2023). Integration of artificial intelligence into the blockchain and cryptocurrency market. *Modeling the Development of the Economic Systems, (4),* 61-66. https://doi.org/10.31891/mdes/2023-10-8

Morley, J., Floridi, L., Kinsey, L., & Elhalal, A. (2019). From what to how: An initial review of publicly available AI ethics tools, methods, and research to translate principles into practices. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 26*(4), 2141-2168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-019-00165-5

Murphy, K., Ruggiero, E., Upshur, R., Willison, D., Malhotra, N., Cai, J., … & Gibson, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence for good health: A scoping review of the ethics literature. *BMC Medical Ethics, 22*(1), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-021-00577-8

O'Dwyer, R. (2018). Limited edition: Producing artificial scarcity for digital art on the blockchain and its implications for the cultural industries. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research Into New Media Technologies, 26*(4), 874-894. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856518795097

Oyelude, A. (2022). Trending issues in advancing blockchain technology in libraries, archives, and museums. *Library Hi Tech News, 39*(6), 6-7. https://doi.org/10.1108/lhtn-06-2021-0040

Pang, Z., Yi, W., & Zhou, H. (2023). Research and analysis on the information management of intellectual property in military academies based on blockchain technology. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.9-12-2022.2327731

Park, J., Jeong, S., & Yeom, K. (2023). Smart contract broker: Improving smart contract reusability in a blockchain environment. *Sensors, 23*(13), 6149. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23136149

Pereira, G., & Moreschi, B. (2019). Ways of seeing with computer vision: Artificial intelligence and institutional critique. https://doi.org/10.33767/osf.io/nv9z2

Piancatelli, C., Massi, M., & Vocino, A. (2020). #artoninstagram: Engaging with art in the era of the selfie. *International Journal of Market Research, 63*(2), 134-160. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320963526

Piskopani, A. (2023). Responsible AI and the arts: The ethical and legal implications of AI in the arts and creative industries. *Proceedings of the ACM*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3597512.3597528

Pisoni, G., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Gijlers, H., & Tonolli, L. (2021). Human-centered artificial intelligence for designing accessible cultural heritage. *Applied Sciences, 11*(2), 870. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11020870

Rangarajan, S. (2023). Navigating the future of the Internet of Things: Emerging trends and transformative applications. *BJIoT, 2023*, 8-12. https://doi.org/10.58496/bjiot/2023/002

Rani, S., Jining, D., Shah, D., Xaba, S., & Singh, P. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence and computing technologies in art museums. *ITM Web of Conferences, 53*, 01004. https://doi.org/10.1051/itmconf/20235301004

Rao, K., & Manvi, S. (2023). Survey on electronic health record management using amalgamation of artificial intelligence and blockchain technologies. *Acta Informatica Pragensia, 12*(1), 179-199. https://doi.org/10.18267/j.aip.194

Richardson, S. (2022). Exposing the many biases in machine learning. *Business Information Review, 39*(3), 82-89. https://doi.org/10.1177/02663821221121024

Rogers, H. (2018). Curating art, science, and technology. *Technology S Stories*. https://doi.org/10.15763/jou.ts.2018.12.20.04

Rondon, A. (2022). Understanding blockchain: Why physicians should care. *Journal of Orthopaedic Experience & Innovation, 3*(2). https://doi.org/10.60118/001c.35657

Salah, K., Rehman, M., Nizamuddin, N., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2019). Blockchain for AI: Review and open research challenges. *IEEE Access, 7*, 10127-10149. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2018.2890507

Sánchez, J., Rodríguez, J., & Espitia, H. (2020). Review of artificial intelligence applied in decision-making processes in agricultural public policy. *Processes, 8*(11), Article 1374. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8111374

Saxena, R., & Gayathri, E. (2023). Semantic analysis of blockchain intelligence with proposed agenda for future issues. *International Journal of Systems Assurance Engineering and Management, 14*(S1), 34-54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-023-01862-y

Sayeed, S., Marco-Gisbert, H., & Caira, T. (2020). Smart contract: Attacks and protections. *IEEE Access, 8*, 24416-24427. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2970495

Shin, W., & Kim, Y. (2022). The improvement of art distribution structure using blockchain technology. *Journal of Next-Generation Convergence Information Services Technology, 11*(3), 253-262. https://doi.org/10.29056/jncist.2022.06.03

Shuoshuo, Y. (2023). AI painting in TikTok. *CEAS, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.61603/ceas.v1i1.10

Sigalov, K., Ye, X., König, M., Hagedorn, P., Blum, F., Severin, B., … & Groß, D. (2021). Automated payment and contract management in the construction industry by integrating building information modeling and blockchain-based smart contracts. *Applied Sciences, 11*(16), 7653. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11167653

Silva, L. (2023). Ethics in AI: How software development companies in Brazil deal with the ethical implications of AI technologies. *Proceedings of the ACM*. https://doi.org/10.5753/eniac.2023.233866

Singh, N. (2023). Blockchain and AI in reducing inventory fraud and errors. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 11*(12), 1023-1028. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.57500

Sunoqrot, M., Saha, A., Hosseinzadeh, M., Elschot, M., & Huisman, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence for prostate MRI: Open datasets, available applications, and grand challenges. *European Radiology Experimental, 6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-022-00288-8

Tang, Y., Xiong, J., Becerril-Arreola, R., & Iyer, L. (2019). Ethics of blockchain. *Information Technology and People, 33*(2), 602-632. https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-10-2018-0491

Tian, M., & Chen, J. (2022). Smart contract in blockchain. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Cloud Computing, 868-875*. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-030-5_86

Tryggestad, E., Anand, A., Beltran, C., Brooks, J., Cimmiyotti, J., Grimaldi, N., … & Foote, R. (2022). Scalable radiotherapy data curation infrastructure for deep-learning based autosegmentation of organs-at-risk: A case study in head and neck cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology, 12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.936134

Vacchio, E., & Bifulco, F. (2022). Blockchain in cultural heritage: Insights from literature review. *Sustainability, 14*(4), Article 2324. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042324

Valeonti, F., Bikakis, A., Terras, M., Speed, C., Hudson-Smith, A., & Chalkias, K. (2021). Crypto collectibles, museum funding, and OpenGLAM: Challenges, opportunities and the potential of non-fungible tokens (NFTs). *Applied Sciences, 11*(21), Article 9931. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11219931

Watson, A., & Leyshon, A. (2022). Negotiating platformisation: Musictech, intellectual property rights and third wave platform reintermediation in the

music industry. *Journal of Cultural Economy, 15*(3), 326-343. https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350.2022.2028653

Wei, W. (2019). Research progress on virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) in tourism and hospitality. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology, 10*(4), 539-570. https://doi.org/10.1108/jhtt-04-2018-0030

Whitaker, A. (2019). Art and blockchain: A primer, history, and taxonomy of blockchain use cases in the arts. *Artivate: A Journal of Entrepreneurship in the Arts, 8*(2), 21-46. https://doi.org/10.1353/artv.2019.0008

Yadlapalli, A., Rahman, S., & Gopal, P. (2022). Blockchain technology implementation challenges in supply chains – Evidence from the case studies of multi-stakeholders. *The International Journal of Logistics Management, 33*(5), 278-305. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlm-02-2021-0086

Yalcinkaya, E., & Maffei, A. (2020). Blockchain suitability assessment of manufacturing functions defined by the ISA95 standard. *Industrial Engineering & Management Systems, 19*(4), 825-846. https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2020.19.4.825

Yoon, N., & Lee, H. (2021). AI recommendation service acceptance: Assessing the effects of perceived empathy and need for cognition. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 16*(5), 1912-1928. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16050107

Yusa, I., Yu, Y., & Sovhyra, T. (2022). Reflections on the use of artificial intelligence in works of art. *JADAM, 2*(2), 152-167. https://doi.org/10.58982/jadam.v2i2.334

Zeilinger, M. (2016). Digital art as 'monetized graphics': Enforcing intellectual property on the blockchain. *Philosophy & Technology, 31*(1), 15-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-016-0243-1

Zhang, S. (2023). A blockchain framework for preserving music intellectual property rights. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security (Ijcnis), 15*(3), 26-41. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijcnis.v15i3.6213

Zhuang, C., Dai, Q., & Zhang, Y. (2022). BCPPT: A blockchain-based privacy-preserving and traceability identity management scheme for intellectual property. *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications, 15*(1), 724-738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12083-021-01277-1